Workforce Race Equality Standard

Statistics breakdown

Date of this report	August 2018
Name of Provider Organization	Nottingham CityCare Partnerships
Name and Title of Board Lead for Workforce Race Equality	Tracy Tyrrell Director of Nursing & Allied Health
Standard	Professionals
Name and contact details of Lead Manager completing this	Fiona Cambridge Equality Diversity & Inclusion Lead
report	f.cambridge@nhs.net
Name of Commissioners this report has been sent to	Nottingham City Clinical Commissioning Group
This report has been signed off by the Board by	Tracy Tyrrell - September 2018

Report on the WRES Indicators

1. Background Narrative

a. Any issues of completeness of data

None

b. Any matters relating to reliability of comparisons with previous years

None

2. Total Numbers of Staff

a. Employed within this organisation at the date of this report

1120

b. Proportion of BME¹ staff employed within this organisation at the date of this report

11.7%

¹ The definitions of "Black and Minority Ethnic" and "White" used in the NHS England Standard and Guidance have followed the national reporting requirements of Ethnic Category in the NHS Data Model and Dictionary, and are as used in Health and Social Care Information Centre data. These definitions were based upon the 2001 ONS Census categories for ethnicity. "White" staff include White British, Irish and Any Other White. The "Black and Minority Ethnic" staff category includes all other staff except "unknown" and "not stated." (these are presented in Annex B)

3. Self-Reporting

a. The proportion of total staff who have self-reported their ethnicity

98.9%

b. Have any steps been taken in the last reporting period to improve the level of self-reporting of ethnicity

Improved self-reporting on last year. Staff complete self-reporting on application, at recruitment and for the staff survey.

c. Are any steps planned during the current report period to improve the level of self-reporting by ethnicity

Raise awareness of the importance of recording during equality training, on the website and in CityCare Cascade

3. Workforce Data

a. What period does the organisation's workforce data relate to?

April 2017 to April 2018 (exception indicator 3 which is a rolling two year period)

5. Workforce Race Equality Indicators

	Indicator For each of these four workforce indicators, the Standard compares the metrics for White and BME staff	Data for reporting year	Data for previous year	Narrative – implications of this data and background narrative	Action taken and planned eg link to EDS2 evidence and corporate Equality Objectives
-	Percentage of staff in each of the AfC bands 1-9 or medical and dental subgroups and VSM (including executive board members) compared with the	Band 1 non-clinical BME 100% White 0% Band 2 non-clinical BME 28% 17/61	Band 1 non-clinical BME 100% White 0% Band 2 non-clinical BME 28%	Within this reporting period 2018: The highest number of BME staff are within AfC band 6	pathways in promotion. The Equality & Diversity Group regularly monitor and report to the Board on career progression &
	percentage of staff in the overall workforce disaggregated by: Non clinical staff Clinical staff of which: Non-medical Medical & dental	White 67% 41/61 Clinical BME 11% White 89%	White 72% Clinical BME 10% White 90%	clinical followed by band 4 clinical and band 2 non-clinical. The lowest number being in band(s) 7 non-clinical and band 8a and above.	appointment of staff (BME & white staff). New and established managers will undertake a management programme including E&D elements, WRES and recruitment,
	occupation codes with the exception of medical & dental staff Please see attached table for % BME against whole BME workforce	Band 3 non-clinical BME 10% White 90% 53/59 Clinical BME 10% White 88%	Band 3 non-clinical BME 10% White 90% Clinical BME 14% White 86%	Generally, there are higher numbers of BME staff in clinical roles than non-clinical roles.	unconscious bias and cultural competency. We have widened the market with targeted advertising and engagement; social media, local communities, recruitment events. Fair & consistent recruitment pane
		Band 4 non-clinical BME 10% White 90% Clinical BME 13% White 86%	Band 4 non-clinical BME 11% White 89% Clinical BME 16% White 84%	In comparison with 2017 significant changes include: A higher number of BME staff in band 5 both non-clinical and clinical posts.	with stakeholder engagement; values bases recruitment, E&D elements. EDS2 Goal 3 - 2 a &b Analysis of staff training & staff survey Engagement sessions with staff,

Band 5 non-clinical		focus on equality elements, report
BME 13%	Band 5 non-clinical	to Equality & Diversity Group and
White 87%	BME 8%	Board with action plan. Upskill
Clinical	White 92%	managers to support staff via
BME 12%	Clinical	management induction programme.
White 88%	BME 10%	
	White 90%	Goal 3 - 3 a-e - review & extend
Band 6 non-clinical	Band 6 non-clinic	training program, targeted training
BME 9%	BME 8%	reflecting needs of the organization
White 91%	White 92%	Cultural competence and
Clinical	Clinical	unconscious bias training rolled out
BME 10%	BME 10%	with elements included within
White 90%	White 90%	induction and HR training courses.
Band 7 non-clinical	Band 7 non-clinic	
BME 3%	BME 3%	EDS2 Goal 3 - 4a & b Annual
White 97%	White 97%	appraisal reflect evidence in
Clinical	Clinical	relation to E&D values &
BME 7%	BME 6%	behaviours
White 92%	White 94%	Implementation of new appraisal
Band 8a non-clinical	Band 8a non-clinic	system. Leadership & management
BME 0%	BME 0%	training/opportunities for future
White 100%	White 100%	leaders. Ongoing accessibility to
Clinical	Clinical	BME leadership programmes EMLA,
BME 6%	BME 0%	coaching & mentoring
White 94%	White 100%	opportunities. Work with EMLA to
Band 8b non-clinical	Band 8b non-clinical	reduce level of entry to leadership
BME 0%	BME 10%	courses. Ongoing analysis and
White 100%	White 90%	reporting of career progression
Clinical	Clinical	within bands and consider staff
BME 100%	BME 100%	survey results around career
White 0%	White 0%	progression opportunities.

 Band 8c non-clinical	Band 8c non-clinical	Equality event with deep dive
BME 0%	BME 0%	explored cultural competence of
White 100%	White 100%	workforce, action plan agreed an
Clinical	Clinical	sharing of good practice across
BME 0%	BME 0%	services.
White 100%	White 0%	services.
		W/DEC action plan to be and dues of
Band 8d non-clinical	Band 8d non-clinical	WRES action plan to be produced
BME 0%	BME 0%	and agreed by E&D Group and
White 100%	White 0%	Board.
Clinical	Clinical	
BME 0%	BME 0%	
White 100%	White 0%	
Band 9 non-clinical	Band 9 non-clinical	
BME 0%	BME 0%	
White 100%	White 100%	
Clinical	Clinical	
BME 0%	BME 0%	
White 0%	White 0%	
VSM non-clinical	VSM non-clinical	
BME 0%	BME 0%	
White 100%	White 100%	
Clinical	Clinical	
BME 0%	BME 0%	
White 0%	White 100%	
Medical subgroups	Medical subgroups	
BME 33%	BME 40%	
White 67%	White 60%	

22	Relative likelihood of BME staff being appointed from shortlisting compared to that of White staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts.	1.08 times greater for white staff	1.90 times greater for white staff	There has been an increase in the likelihood of BME staff being appointed from shortlisting from the previous year.	 EDS2 Goal 3 - 1b Values based recruitment & patient representative involvement Values based recruitment and unconscious bias, cultural awareness for appointing managers. Patient, public & staff involvement in senior interview panels Targeted advertising in local communities & on social media Promote work experience & apprenticeships. Leadership & management training/opportunities for current and future leaders. Regular reporting from NHS jobs discussion at E&D and HR Group.
3	Relative likelihood of BME staff entering the formal disciplinary process, compared to that of White staff entering the formal disciplinary process, as measured by entry into a formal disciplinary investigation* *Note: this indicator will be based on data from a two year rolling average of the current year and the previous year.	0.87 times greater for BME staff	0.50 times greater for BME staff	There has been an increase in the relative likelihood of BME staff entering the formal disciplinary process	 EDS2 Goal 3 - 4a & b Annual appraisal reflect evidence in relation to E&D values & behaviours Deep dives to identify mechanisms & causes – implement better practice Offer of support during process for BME staff from trained BME staff Management HR toolkit Revision of HR policy & training including unconscious bias.

4	Relative likelihood of BME staff accessing non-mandatory training and CPD as compared to White staff	0.99 times greater for white staff	0.34 time greater for white	There has been an increase in the number of white staff attending CPD compared to BME staff 21.7% of BME staff attended non-mandatory training and CPD compared to be 21.9% of white staff	 EDS2 Goal 3 - 3 a-e Review & extend training program, targeted training reflecting needs of the organization Aspiring leaders programme and management programme established. Increased opportunity for development with clear pathways with new appraisal system. BME staff network and protected release time. You Said We Did staff survey action plan
	Indicator For each of these four staff survey indicators, the Standard compares the metrics for each survey questions response for White and BME staff	Data for reporting year	Data for previous year	Narrative – implications of this data and background narrative	Action taken and planned eg link to EDS2 evidence and corporate Equality Objectives
5	KF 25. Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 months	White 24% BME 24%	White 23% BME 12%	The total response rate for completion of the staff survey has increased from 57% to 63% There has been an increase in bullying/harassment/abuse for white staff of + 1% There is a 12% increase for BME staff within this domain	 EDS2 Goal 3 - 5 Address issues from staff survey BME staff network – consult & represent views. Explore reported cases Managers induction programme to effectively support staff Cultural competence resources & training

66	KF 26. Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 months	White 14% BME 19%	White 15% BME 20%	There is a 1% decrease for white staff and 1% decrease for BME staff in their experience from the previous year	 EDS2 Goal 3 - 3 Review and delivery of E&D training. Annual appraisal to reflect evidence to E&D values and behaviours BME staff network – consult & represent views Managers induction programme to effectively support staff Cultural competence resources & training Unconscious bias training WRES staff workshop & action plan
7	KF 21. Percentage believing that trust provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion	White 86% BME 53%	White 88% BME 67%	There is a slight decrease in this area for white staff and a 14% decrease for BME staff	 EDS2 Goal 3 - 2 Equality of access to training and development Aspiring leaders programme Managers Induction programme Increase opportunity for development with clear pathways BME staff network Protected release time Work with EMLA to offer leadership training for lower banded BME staff You Said We Did staff survey action plan

8 Q17. In the last 12 months have you personally experienced discrimination at work from any of the following? b) Manager/team leader or other colleagues	White 5% BME 13%	White 6% BME 18%	The experience for white staff has slightly improved by 1% and improved for BME staff more significantly by 5%	EDS2 Goal 3 - 3 E&D training for staff EDS2 Goal 3 - 5 Monitor staff survey & action plan to address issues • BME staff network – consult & represent views with reporting to Equality & Diversity Group • Managers induction training to effectively support staff • Cultural competence training and resources • Unconscious bias training
Does the Board meet the requirement on Board membership?	Data for reporting year	Data for previous year	Narrative – implications of this data and background narrative	Action taken and planned eg link to EDS2 evidence and corporate Equality Objectives
 9 Percentage difference between the organisations Board voting membership and its overall workforce: a. By voting member of the Board b. By executive membership of the 	a +2.59% b -11.7%	a. +1% b. a difference of -11.5%	There has been an increase both in the voting member and executive members of the Board compared to that of the overall workforce	EDS2 goal 3 – 1 monitor Board representation

6. Are there any other factors or data which should be taken into consideration in assessing progress? Please bear in mind any such information, action taken and planned may be subject to scrutiny by the Co-ordinating Commissioner or by regulators when inspecting against the "well led domain".

CityCare were awarded "excellent" at the CQC inspection which fell in the previous reporting period. CQC comments included; the leadership team at CityCare demonstrated they were meeting the objectives and promoting the values of the Workforce Race Equality Standard (WRES) with processes that promoted staff involvement and led to action plans which addressed causes of inequality. Board minutes we reviewed indicated regular discussions of the WRES were taking place and WRES requirements were embedded and reviewed appropriately.

7. If the organisation has a more detailed Plan agreed by its Board for addressing these and related issues, you are asked to attach it or provide a link to it. Such a plan would normally elaborate on the steps summarised in section 5 above setting out the next steps with milestones for expected progress against the metrics. It may also identify the links with other work streams agreed at Board level such as EDS2.

EDS2 action plan and grading report WRES action plan You Said We Did staff survey action plan

Annex A

Reporting 2018							
Pay Band	No. of BME Staff	No. of White Staff	Not Stated	Total Staff	% BME Staff in Pay Band	% BME staff compared to whole BME workforce (all pay bands)	
Band 1 non-clinical	2	-		2	100%	1.56%	
Band 1 clinical	-	-		-	-		
Band 2 non-clinical	17	41	3	61	28%	13.28%	
Band 2 clinical	3	24		27	11%	2.34%	
Band 3 non-clinical	6	53		59	10%	4.68%	
Band 3 clinical	8	72	2	82	10%	6.25%	
Band 4 non-clinical	6	52		58	10%	4.68%	
Band 4 clinical	17	110	1	128	13%	13.28%	
Band 5 non-clinical	3	19		22	13%	2.34%	
Band 5 clinical	18	133		151	12%	14.06%	
Band 6 non-clinical	3	30		33	9%	2.34%	
Band 6 clinical	30	256		286	10%	23.43%	
Band 7 non-clinical	1	29		30	3%	0.78%	
Band 7 clinical	9	116	1	126	7%	7.03%	
Band 8a non-clinical	-	14		14	-		
Band 8a clinical	1	14		15	6%	0.78%	
Band 8b non-clinical	-	6		6	-		
Band 8b clinical	1	-		1	100%	0.78%	
Band 8c non-clinical	-	2		2	-		
Band 8c clinical	-	1		1	-		
Band 8d non-clinical	-	1		1	-		
Band 8d clinical	-	1		1	-		
Band 9 non-clinical	-	1		1	-		
Band 9 clinical	-	-		-	-		
VSM non clinical	-	1		1	-		
VSM clinical	-	-		-	-		
Medical sub-groups	1	2		3	33%	0.78%	
(other pay band)	3	2	5	10	33%	2.34	
TOTAL	128	980	12	1120			

14 Annex B –Office of National Statistics 2001 Ethnic Categories Ethnic Categories 2001

A - White -British

B – White -Irish

C - Any other white background

D - Mixed White and Black Caribbean

E – Mixed White and Black African

F – Mixed White and Asian

G - Any other mixed background

H – Asian or Asian British -Indian

J – Asian or Asian British -Pakistani

K - Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi

L - Any other Asian background

M – Black or Black British -Caribbean

N - Black or Black British -African

P - Any other Black background

R – Chinese

S – Any other ethnic group

Z – not stated

Note: a more detailed classification for local use if required is contained in Annex 2 of DSCN 02/2001.

Old Ethnic Codes - staff employed after 1 April 2001 must have their ethnic group assessed and recorded using the new categories and codes as detailed above.

The "old" codes shown below are for reference only.

0 – White

1 - Black - Caribbean

2 - Black - African

3 - Black - Other

4 – Indian

5 – Pakistani

6 - Bangladeshi

7 - Chinese

8- Any other Ethnic Group

9 – Not given